

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/637,600	08/11/2003	Michael Buchholz	232238US0X	3515	
	7590 01/10/2007 AK, MCCLELLAND, MA	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE ST	TREET	SAYALA, CHHAYA D			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1761			
				<u></u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/10/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/637,6	800	BUCHHOLZ ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		C. SAYA	LA	1761				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communicat	ion appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress			
WHICHEVER IS LOI - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror - If NO period for reply is spi - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the 0	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR NGER, FROM THE MAIL available under the provisions of 37 in the mailing date of this communication of the available under the maximum statutor et or extended period for reply will, 10 office later than three months after the nent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ry period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be ti will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed o	n 13 October 20	06					
′ <u> </u>								
=	, -			osecution as to the	e merits is			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		,	,					
· _	s/are nending in the anni	ication						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <u> </u>							
·	_ _ are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers								
<u> </u>	on is objected to by the Ex	vaminer						
	filed on is/are: a))∏ objected to by the	Fyaminer				
	ot request that any objection	·						
	awing sheet(s) including the				FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119			,	,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cit		040)	4) Interview Summar					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Information Disclosure S 	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/11/03,1/23/04</u> . 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-11 and 23-25 in Paper mailed 10/13/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not shown that applicant's animal additive can be made by prior art processes disclosed in applicant's specification. Also, applicant states that it is not clear that the claimed process can be used to make other amino acid feed supplements such as lysine because this has not been shown by the citation of any reference and it is only conjecture on the Examiner's part. This is not found persuasive because of the following reasons:

The MPEP directs that when inventions are related as process of making and product made, the inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). The MPEP does not require a citation of any reference. It is presumed that the inventor has full knowledge of the prior art in the field of his endeavor (see rejection below). As for applicant's unsubstantiated statement that a search of all of the claims would not impose a serious burden on the examiner, it should be noted that the process claims recite limitations that are not contained in the product claims, and it is not clear if such a statement is a tacit implication that such limitations require no additional search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 3, "a thiazolidine or together with L-cysteine, L-cystine, salts thereof and combinations thereof" appears to be incorrect, unless applicant intends L-cysteine, L-cystine, salts thereof and combinations thereof all to be present in this combination.

Claim 23 is indefinite in depending from non-elected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 23-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Winterhalter et al. (US Patent 5972663).

The patent teaches a fermentative process that yields the compounds claimed

Art Unit: 1761

See Tables 1-3 for amounts. The preamble "animal feed additive" is 'use' terminology and does not add any patentable weight. Besides, for composition claims, intended use of an otherwise old or obvious composition cannot render a claim patentable. <u>In re Zierden</u>, 162 USPQ 102, <u>In re Jones</u>, 50 USPQ 48, <u>In re Spada</u>, 15 USPQ 2d, 1655, <u>In re Thuau</u> 57 USPQ 324.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winterhalter et al. and Leinfelder et al. (US Patent 6218168) in view of Binder et al. (US Patent 5622710 and 6465025 and 5431933).

Both the primary patents teach the preparation of cysteine compounds in increased yields. The fermentation broth contains biotin, etc. and salts that contain cations that would yield salts of the amino acids. See for instance, col. 13, lines 49-50 in '663 and col. 5, lines 64-65 in '168. The references do not teach removing any part of the biomass or the application of the composition as a feed additive. However, prior art such as Binder et al. establish that it was known to use fermentation broth that comprised amino acids such as lysine, as animal feed additives. They also teach that

Art Unit: 1761

the fermentation broth can be treated so as to contain all, none or part of the biomass. See the abstract in '710, col. 5, lines 5-10 and claim 9 in '025 and col. 7, lines 20-50, example 1, @ col. 8, lines 34-36 and example 4 @ lines 40-45 in '933. It would have been obvious to combine such aspects when the amino acids are cysteine compounds, because it was known in the art that sulfur containing compounds would have been useful as feed supplements, and to remove part or completely the biomass would also have been obvious since such an expedient was already shown and suggested by the Binder et al. patents. As for claims 10 and 11, animal feed additives in solid or liquid forms are shown by '710 and '025, and therefore, to formulate feed additives in a solid or liquid form would have been obvious from such teachings.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle shows the importance of sulfur in the diet of cattle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. SAYALA whose telephone number is 571-272-1405.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,600 Page 6

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. SAÝALA

Primary Examiner

Group 1700.